

Colclasure, James

From: Tranter, Dale A <Dale.Tranter@Foth.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2014 5:43 PM
To: Gates, Jim
Subject: Re: Solid Waste Exec. Team discussion documents for this afternoon at 2:30

Jim. You and I have no problem and it doesn't phase me in the least that Dan and Warren are upset with me for expecting them to do their jobs and to turn out a product that meets your needs and expectations. I expect nothing less from them, myself and everyone else in Foth. I consider myself to be open and above board and I am glad that also are.

Sent from Dale's iPhone

On Jun 26, 2014, at 4:56 PM, "Gates, Jim" <jgates@BloomingtonMN.gov> wrote:

That's what I worried about. Just want to be sure we're still good. I understood what you said and spoke my mind. I call a spade a spade.
Thanks Dale.

From: Tranter, Dale A [<mailto:Dale.Tranter@Foth.com>]
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2014 4:53 PM
To: Gates, Jim
Subject: Re: Solid Waste Exec. Team discussion documents for this afternoon at 2:30

No problem Jim. I don't know why it is so difficult working with these guys. They are both pretty pissed off at me now.

Have a good evening.

Sent from Dale's iPhone

On Jun 26, 2014, at 4:38 PM, "Gates, Jim" <jgates@BloomingtonMN.gov> wrote:

Thanks Dale!
Jim

From: Tranter, Dale A [<mailto:Dale.Tranter@Foth.com>]
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2014 2:18 PM
To: Gates, Jim
Subject: RE: Solid Waste Exec. Team discussion documents for this afternoon at 2:30

No problem with what you wrote, Jim. I agree with your comments and assessment.
This project should not be this hard.

Dale

From: Gates, Jim [<mailto:jgates@BloomingtonMN.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2014 10:19 AM
To: Krivit, Dan
Cc: Shuros, Warren A; Tranter, Dale A
Subject: FW: Solid Waste Exec. Team discussion documents for this afternoon at 2:30

Here are the discussion documents for the Exec. Team this afternoon.

I wish I could have known about Foth being uncomfortable with providing the info earlier than yesterday at noon when Dale and I had lunch.

I still don't understand why you think Foth would be "thrown under the bus" by providing the info. Bloomington better have a better reputation out there than that! We do not abuse consultants. In fact, we chose Foth over a number of other consultants as part of the RFP process!

We want this new Council to be informed in order to make their decision, and not be lead down a "garden path." We have shared the Maplewood experience in the summary ppt you sent us. Thanks again for that.

We want the Council to be informed on both sides to the extent possible before it hits the fan, and then Council saying: " You did not warn us about this."

We'll keep in touch, if Foth is still willing to work with Bloomington. Frankly, I'm kinda pissed right now. Nuf said. I probably should not send this, but I am.

Thanks.
Jim

From: Gates, Jim
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2014 9:44 AM
To: Bernhardson, Mark; Keel, Karl; Lee, Larry; Moore, Lynn
Cc: Larson, Kim; Eiler, Jim; Williams, Deborah K
Subject: Solid Waste Exec. Team discussion documents for this afternoon at 2:30

For this afternoon's discussion. I'm working on the "drizzle" information memo, but would like to put the LMC memo out there this week, along with the volunteer household costs to at least getting the Council thinking that organized collection may not be the "best thing since sliced bread." It will be a Council decision, but we want the Council to have the background to make an informed decision.

Waiting for some household solid waste split information from Foth to be able to put a graph/chart/table together.

Sorry for the late sending of the discussion items. Got tied up with other things earlier this week.

Thanks.
Jim

IMPORTANT NOTICE

This communication including any attachments, (E-mail) is confidential and may